

Examiner-Initiated Interview Summary	Application No.	Applicant(s)	
	08/253,973	MCBRIDE ET AL.	
	Examiner	Art Unit	
	Michael G. Hartley	1618	

All Participants: (1) Michael G. Hartley (3) _____
 (2) Fred Rabin (Appl. repr.) (4) _____

Date of Interview: 3 October 2005 **Time:** _____

Type of Interview:
☒ Telephonic
☐ Video Conference
☐ Personal (Copy given to: ☐ Applicant ☐ Applicant's representative)

Exhibit Shown or Demonstrated: ☐ Yes ☐ No
 If Yes, provide a brief description:


Part I.
Rejection(s) discussed:
none

Claims discussed:
2-8 and 10

Prior art documents discussed:
none

Part II.
SUBSTANCE OF INTERVIEW DESCRIBING THE GENERAL NATURE OF WHAT WAS DISCUSSED:
Discussed the adverse decision from the interference from the Board and informed appl. repr. that there are some claims still pending that did not correspond to the count. Appl. repr. suggested an office action to set forth the current status of these claims.

Part III.
☐ It is not necessary for applicant to provide a separate record of the substance of the interview, since the interview directly resulted in the allowance of the application. The examiner will provide a written summary of the substance of the interview in the Notice of Allowability.
☒ It is not necessary for applicant to provide a separate record of the substance of the interview, since the interview did not result in resolution of all issues. A brief summary by the examiner appears in Part II above.



 (Examiner/SPE Signature)

 (Applicant/Applicant's Representative Signature – if appropriate)